

ORGANIZATION THEORY Winter 2018 Professor Massimo Maoret

Contact Information

Professor: Massimo Maoret Assistant: Carolina Dolz

Tel.: 4037 Tel.: 6402 Room: Q-626 Room: Q-629

Email: <u>mmaoret@iese.edu</u> Email: <u>cdolz@iese.edu</u>

Room: TBD

Introduction

In the last 50 years, the field of Organization Theory has contributed to the enrichment of our understanding of economic and management action, providing novel approaches, theories and methodological tools to management inquiry. This doctoral course provides a broad overview of the major theoretical debates within organization theory, and how they have influenced research in more applied fields. We will read and discuss theoretical and empirical papers, both classic and contemporary, and identify the current frontier of the field. Learning more about how organizations are structured and work can help us to improve organizational processes and their outcomes.

Objectives

By the end of the course the students will be able to frame a scientific research question from a theoretical point of view, and develop original scientific research ideas to advance scholarship in organizational theory. The goals are to provide students with the theoretical groundings that explain why organizations exist, how they operate, change and perform – and how to further our understanding of organizations from a scientific perspective.

Learning outcomes

- 1. <u>Evaluate</u> the relevance of the theoretical advancements of scientific publications in organization theory
- 2. <u>Evaluate</u> the scientific standards and quality of the methodologies of scientific publications in organization theory
- 3. Write a literature review of a sub-stream of research of organization theory, integrating several scientific contribution
- 4. Generate new theory that advances our current understanding of organizations
- 5. Lead a dialectic discussion that integrates several scientific contributions
- 6. Communicate and debate the merits of one's scientific ideas

General competences

• Acquire knowledge, skills, abilities and attitudes required to conduct research on a global basis in the field of business management.

- Conduct a critical analysis, evaluation and synthesis of new and complex ideas with the objective to produce general principles applicable to business situations.
- Demonstrate knowledge and understanding that provide a basis or opportunity for originality in developing and / or application of ideas, often related to a research context.
- Students should be able to communicate clearly and concisely their conclusions, underlying knowledge and reasons to a specialized and non-specialized audience.

Specific competences

- Understand the concepts of social and human sciences relevant and necessary to carry out research projects of international level in the area of business management.
- Organization, planning and implementation of a research project related to social sciences.
- Ability to understand state-of-the-art research in organization theory published in the
 top academic journals (Administrative Science Quarterly, Academy of Management
 Journal, Organization Science, American Journal of Sociology, etc.) and compare and
 contrast the arguments developed in the papers from a logical and empirical point of
 view.
- Ability to take current management and organizational problems and identify how different theories of organizations can help us understand them.
- Ability to design research programs in the area of Business Management.
- Analyze business phenomena formal analysis tools (logic and mathematics) in order to develop consistent structural theories.

Content

The content of the class will cover all the major streams of organizational theory. Each week a new theoretical perspective will be explored, and compared to the previous ones. Every perspective relies on different assumptions about a) why organizations exist and b) how organizations work. We will thus cover:

- 1. Intro to organizational theory
- 2. Networks, social capital and embeddedness
- 3. Status-based competition
- 4. Tie strength and network brokerage
- 5. Carnegie school and the neo-behavioral theory of the firm
- 6. Developments of neo-institutional theory
- 7. Sense-making, framing, and symbolic management
- 8. Categories and evaluation processes
- 9. Identity and authenticity
- 10. Culture and cognition

Methodology

The course will be run in a doctoral seminar format. For each doctoral-style session, students are expected to read <u>all</u> the required readings, provide a written answer for the assigned memo questions in advanced (see section on weekly memos), and be prepared to discuss the material in class on the schedule indicated in the syllabus. All students should come to class with questions, topics, and issues to be raised for discussion. The professor's role is to facilitate

and direct the discussion. The students' role is to engage each other in developing the best critical understanding of each paper.

As you do the readings, think about the following questions:

- 1) What is the basic argument made by the author(s)? What are its strengths?
- 2) What are the weaknesses of the argument?
- 3) If you disagree with an argument, what would it take to convince you?
- 4) Are there critical differences between these authors' arguments and those of others we have read?
- 5) Can these differences be resolved through an empirical test? How would you design a test to resolve these differences?
- 6) If an empirical paper, what alternative explanations can account for the findings of the authors?
- 7) Important: BE CRITICAL!

In addition to preparing the papers for discussion, each student will lead the discussion once during the course. We will assign the topics on the first day of class. Discussion leaders are required to read all the memos in advance, and be prepared to open the general discussion by identifying some key debate issues and questions.

Evaluation

Grading type	Weight	Evaluation elements and learning outcomes
Class participation	30%	 effectively communicate the analysis of the underling theoretical logic of the assigned readings, and effectively compare them to the previous weeks (LO 1, 5) engage in an open constructive dialectic process to expose the contributions and limitations of the assigned readings (LO 2, 6)
Weekly memo	40%	- the evaluation is based on the ability of each students to grasp, summarize and criticize the theoretical perspective of the week, applying scientific standards in a written formal (LO 1, 2, 3)
Final paper	30%	- the final paper will be used to evaluate the students' written ability to answer a theoretical question integrated in an existing stream of research using publishable standards. (LO 2, 3, 4, 6)

Class participation

Performance will be a function of both quantity and quality. In order for the class to succeed, students must have read the readings and be prepared to talk critically about them.

Weekly memo

Each week, students are asked to prepare a two-part memo (MAX 2000 words total, single-spaced) related to the readings of the class. Memos should be posted by 9am (same day of class) on Virtual Campus. Students are encouraged to read one another's memo before class.

Memos that are posted after the deadline will be reduced by a full grade for every hour they are late.

As mentioned, the memo should be divided in two parts. The first part (synthetic) requires you to answer the weekly "memo questions" listed in the syllabus. These questions usually require you to synthesize the focal readings into a coherent thought process, highlighting key assumptions behind a specific school of thought. This part is the most important part of the memo, so feel free to use more space if you need so (i.e. the overall length should be between 1000 and 1400 words). Please note that there may be an extra memo question to answer. This question is completely optional but will be considered for a potential extra grading bonus (you can use an additional 300 words for the extra question).

<u>The second part</u> of the memo (critical review) should focus on a single reading for which you will provide a critical reading. In approaching the critical review, it is recommended that you organize your thoughts in terms of the following questions (some of which will be more or less relevant depending on the readings):

- 1. **Motivation**: Why do the authors think that their topic or question is important? What does the author (implicitly or explicitly) regard as incomplete in existing research such that his or her research constitutes a significant contribution? How is the motivation provided by the various others similar or different to each other?
- 2. **Theory**: What distinguishes the theoretical viewpoint of the authors under consideration? What causal mechanism or mechanisms do the authors focus on and why? What are the potential advantages of a given focus and what are the drawbacks?
- 3. **Evidence**: What types of evidence do the authors bring to bear to support their argument? Which sorts of analyses do you find most compelling and why
- 4. **Big Picture**: To what extent do you regard this reading as making a significant contribution to the larger questions that animate research in the "organizations and environments?" How could the work have made a bigger contribution?

You are required to write <u>a minimum of 8 memos</u> over the course of the term. If you submit N > 8 memos, your weakest N-8 memos will be dropped from your grade.

I highly recommend to follow this general guide when writing the second part of the memo:

Turco, Catherine. 2011. "Notes on a doctoral student exercise in deconstructing scholarly work." Unpublished document.

Final paper

More information about the final paper will be given in the first week of class. Please make sure to read these two notes before submitting the first draft of your paper idea:

Zuckerman, E. W. Tips to Article-Writers.

(http://mitsloan.mit.edu/shared/ods/documents/?DocumentID=2468)

Zuckerman, E. W. On Genre: A Few More Tips to Article-Writers. (https://www.dropbox.com/s/a3n1ux6lnu7wbpe/On%20Genre.pdf?dl=1)

Course Material

All the required readings will be in the course reader. An extended (but not exhaustive) bibliography is provided throughout this document, divided by topic covered (and not covered).

COURSE OUTLINE

Session 1. Introduction to Organization Theory

Note: For this first week, only submit the first part of the memo. Instead of submitting the critical review part, submit a one page introduction of yourself, explaining what research ideas excite you the most, and which idea you are planning to pursue (and why).

Memo question(s):

- 1. We could easily imagine a Society without organizations, where all transactions are regulated by a market. So why do organizations exist? Answer this question from the point of view of Zuckerman, Granovetter and Williamson, and then offer your opinion.
- 2. What is theory, what is good theory? Do not simply summarize the readings, but offer your original point of view (which can but doesn't have to build on the readings).

Required readings on organization theory (read in this order):

- Williamson, Oliver E. 1981. The economics of organization: The transaction cost approach. American Journal of Sociology: 548-577.
- Granovetter, Mark. 1985. Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. American Journal of Sociology 91: 481-510.
- Zuckerman, E. W. 2010. Speaking with one voice: A 'Stanford School' approach to organizational hierarchy. Research in the Sociology of Organizations 28:289–307.

Required readings on theorizing in organizational theory:

- Ashford, Susan J. 2013. Having scholarly impact: The art of hitting academic home runs. Academy of Management Learning & Education 12(4): 623-633.
- Davis, Gerald F., and Christopher Marquis. 2005. Prospects for organization theory in the early twenty-first century: Institutional fields and mechanisms. Organization Science 16(4): 332-343.
- Hedstrom, Peter, and Richard Swedberg. 1998. Social mechanisms: An introductory essay. Social mechanisms: An analytical approach to social theory: 1-25.
- Sutton, Robert I., and Barry M. Staw. 1995. What theory is not. Administrative Science Quarterly: 371-384.
- Lounsbury, Michael, and Christine M. Beckman. 2015. Celebrating Organization Theory. Journal of Management Studies 52 (2):288–308.
- Gerald F. Davis (2015). Celebrating Organization Theory: The After-party. Journal of Management Studies 52(2): 309-319.
- Gerald F. Davis (2015). What is Organizational Research For? Administrative Science Quarterly 60(2): 179-188.
- Turco, Catherine. 2011. "Notes on a doctoral student exercise in deconstructing scholarly work." Unpublished document.

Additional readings on the current debate on organizational theory:

- Gerald F. Davis (2017). "Organization theory and the dilemmas of a post-corporate economy." Research in the Sociology of Organizations 48B: 311-322.
- Pfeffer, J. 1997. New directions for organization theory problems and prospects. New York, Oxford University Press. (Chapt. 1)

Additional readings on the theoretical foundations:

- Coleman, James S. 1994. Foundations of social theory. Chapter 1. Harvard University Press. Shenhav, Yehouda. 2003. The Historical and Epistemological Foundations of Organization Theory: Fusing sociological theory with engineering discourse. in Tsoukas, Haridimos, George D. Mavros and Christian Knudsen (Eds.) The Oxford Handbook of Organization Theory Oxford University Press.
- Parsons, Talcott. 1956. "Suggestions for a Sociological Approach to the Theory of Organizations-I." Administrative Science Quarterly 1 (1): 63–85. doi:10.2307/2390840.
- Parsons, Talcott. 1956. "Suggestions for a Sociological Approach to the Theory of Organizations.II." Administrative Science Quarterly 1 (2): 225–39.
- Fligstein, Neil. 2001. "Organizations: Theoretical Debates and the Scope of Organizational Theory" in Calhoun Craig, Chris Rojek, and Bryan Turner (eds.) International Handbook of Sociology. Sage Press.

Session 2. Network embeddedness, Organizations and Inter-organizational networks

Memo question(s):

1. Compare how the authors use the term "embeddedness". Do you think it's a useful concept, and why? What kind of research questions does "embeddedness" help us to answer? Please provide three, concrete examples of potential research questions.

Required readings:

- Uzzi, Brian. 1997. Social structure and competition in interfirm networks: The paradox of embeddedness. Administrative Science Quarterly 42: 35-67.
- Ingram, Paul & Peter W. Roberts. 2000. Friendships among competitors in the Sydney hotel industry. American Journal of Sociology, 106: 387-423.
- Aral, Sinan, and Dylan Walker. 2013. Tie strength, embeddedness and social influence: Evidence from a large-scale networked experiment. Management Science.
- Samila, S., Sorenson, O. 2017. Community and Capital in Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth, American Sociological Review, Vol. 82, No. 4, 2017, pp 770 795
- Azoulay, Pierre, Nelson P. Repenning, and Ezra W. Zuckerman. 2010. Nasty, brutish, and short: Embeddedness failure in the pharmaceutical industry. Administrative Science Quarterly 55 (3): 472–507.

Additional readings on diffusion and influence:

Briscoe, Forrest, and Chad Murphy. Sleight of hand? Practice opacity, third-party responses, and the interorganizational diffusion of controversial practices. Administrative Science Quarterly 57, no. 4 (2012): 553-584.

- Aral, Sinan, Lev Muchnik, and Arun Sundararajan. 2009. Distinguishing influence-based contagion from homophily-driven diffusion in dynamic networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106 (51): 21544-21549.
- Fowler, James H. and Nicholas A. Christakis. 2010. Cooperative Behavior Cascades in Human Social Networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS), 107(12):5334-5338.
- Centola, D., and M. Macy. 2007. Complex Contagions and the Weakness of Long Ties. American Journal of Sociology 113 (3): 702-734.
- Peer C. Fiss, Mark T. Kennedy and Gerald F. Davis (2012). "How Golden Parachutes Unfolded: Diffusion and Variation of a Controversial Practice." Organization Science 23(4):1077-1099.
- Mizruchi, Mark. 1996. What do interlocks do? An analysis, critique, and assessment of research on interlocking directorates. Annual Review of Sociology 22: 271-98.
- Johan S.G. Chu and Gerald F. Davis (2016). "Who Killed the Inner Circle? The Decline of the American Corporate Interlock Network." American Journal of Sociology 122: 714-754.

Additional readings on embeddedness and social capital:

- Coleman, J. S. 1988. Social capital in the creation of human capital. American Journal of Sociology 94:S95–S120.
- Inkpen, Andrew C., and Eric W. K. Tsang. 2005. "Social Capital, Networks, and Knowledge Transfer." The Academy of Management Review 30 (1):146–65.
- Sytch, Maxim and Yong Hyun Kim. 2013. Embeddedness. In D. Teece and M. Augier (Eds.), Palgrave Encyclopedia of Strategic Management.
- Sorenson, Olav, and Michelle Rogan. 2014. "(When) Do Organizations Have Social Capital?" Annual Review of Sociology 40:261–280.
- Abraham, Mabel. 2015. "Explaining Unequal Returns to Social Capital among Entrepreneurs." In Academy of Management Proceedings, 2015:11264. Academy of Management. Rowley, Tim, Dean Behrens, and David Krackhardt. 2000.
- Redundant Governance Structures: An Analysis of Structural and Relational Embeddedness in the Steel and Semiconductor Industries. Strategic Management Journal 21 (3): 369–86.
- Uzzi, Brian. 1999. Embeddedness in the Making of Financial Capital. ASR 64: 481-505
- Sorenson, Olav and Toby Stuart. 2001. Syndication Networks and the Spatial Distribution of Venture Capital. AJS 106(6): 1546-88.

<u>Additional readings on social exchange:</u>

- Casciaro, Tiziana, and Mikołaj Jan Piskorski. 2005. "Power Imbalance, Mutual Dependence, and Constraint Absorption: A Closer Look at Resource Dependence Theory." Administrative Science Quarterly 50 (2):167–99.
- Cook, Karen and J.M. Whitmeyer. 1992. Two Approaches to Social Structure: Exchange Theory and Network Analysis. Annual Review of Sociology 18:109-127.
- Lawler, Edwad, Shane Thye, and Jeongkoo Yoon. 2008. Social Exchange and Micro Social Order. American Sociological Review 73: 519-542.
- Lawler, Edward, and Shane Thye. 1999. Bringing Emotions into Social Exchange Theory. Annual Review of Sociology 25: 217-244.
- Molm, Linda. 2010. The Structure of Reciprocity. Social Psychology Quarterly 73:119-131.
- Willer, Rob, Francis Flynn, and Sonya Zak. 2012. Structure, Identity, and Solidarity: A Comparative Field Study of Generalized and Direct Exchange. Administrative Science Quarterly 57:119-155.

- Kuwabara, Ko. 2011. Cohesion, Cooperation, and the Value of Doing Things Together: How Economic Exchange Creates Relational Bonds. American Sociological Review 74(6): 560-580.
- Allison, Graham, 1969. Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. APSR Sept: 689-718.

Additional readings on network governance:

- Jones, Candace, William S. Hesterly, and Stephen P. Borgatti. 1997. "A General Theory of Network Governance: Exchange Conditions and Social Mechanisms." The Academy of Management Review 22 (4):911–45.
- Podolny, Joel M. and Karen L. Page. 1998. Network Forms of Organization. Annual Review of Sociology 24: 57-76.
- Lincoln, James R., Michael L. Gerlach, and Christina L. Ahmadjian. 1996. Keiretsu networks and corporate performance in Japan. American Sociological Review, 61: 67-88
- Powell, Walter W., K. Koput, and L. Smith-Doerr. 1996. Interorganizational Collaboration and the Locus of Innovation. ASQ 41(1): 116-45.

Additional readings on network formation and evolution:

- Tatarynowicz, Adam, Maxim Sytch, and Ranjay Gulati. Environmental Demands and the Emergence of Social Structure: Technological Dynamism and Interorganizational Network Forms. Administrative Science Quarterly 61, no. 1 (March 2016): 52–86.
- Walker, Gordon, Bruce Kogut, and Weijian Shan. 1997. "Social Capital, Structural Holes and the Formation of an Industry Network." Organization Science 8 (2):109–25.
- Ozmel, Umit, Jeffrey J. Reuer, and Ranjay Gulati. Signals across Multiple Networks: How Venture Capital and Alliance Networks Affect Interorganizational Collaboration. Academy of Management Journal 56, no. 3 (June 2013): 852–866.
- Gulati, Ranjay, Maxim Sytch, and Adam Tatarynowicz. 2012. The Rise and Fall of Small Worlds: Exploring the Dynamics of Social Structure. Organization Science 23:449-471.
- Diekmann, A., Ben, J., Przepiorka, W. & Wehrli, S. 2013. Reputation Formation and the Evolution of Cooperation in Anonymous Online Markets American Sociological Review first published on November 21, 2013 as doi:10.1177/0003122413512316
- Powell, Walter W., D. White, K. Koput, and J. Owen-Smith. 2004. Network Dynamics and Field Evolution: The Growth of Interorganizational Collaboration in the Biotechnology Industry. American Journal of Sociology.

Session 3. Competing for status: Foundations

Memo question(s):

- 1. Compare and contrast Gould and Podolny's theories, in terms of the questions they are trying to explain and how they go about addressing those questions.
- 2. Considering at least three of the readings, to what extent do the authors have a similar conception of what it means to compete for/with status? Put more concretely, imagine you "lived" in these status structures. Would you compete in a similar or different way? What does your answer imply?

Required readings:

- Gould, Roger V. 2002. The origins of status hierarchies: A formal theory and empirical test. American Journal of Sociology, 107: 1143-1178.
- Phillips, Damon J., and Ezra W. Zuckerman. 2001. Middle-Status Conformity: Theoretical Restatement and Empirical Demonstration in Two Markets. American Journal of Sociology 107 (2): 379–429.
- Podolny, Joel P. 2005. Status Signals: A Sociological Study of Market Competition. Princeton University Press. Chapters 1,2,3.
- Malter, D. 2014 On the causality and cause of returns to organizational status: Evidence from the Grands Crus classes of the Medoc. Administrative Science Quarterly, 59(2): 271-300.
- Sorenson, Olav. 2014. Status and Reputation: Synonyms or Separate Concepts? Strategic Organization 12 (1):62–69.
- Jensen, Michael, and Aradhana Roy. 2008. Staging Exchange Partner Choices: When Do Status and Reputation Matter? Academy of Management Journal 51 (3): 495–516.

Additional readings on status:

Amanda Sharkey, Balazs Kovacs (2017) The Many Gifts of Status: How Attending to Audience Reactions Drives the Use of Status. Forthcoming in Management Science Washington, Marvin, and Edward J. Zajac. 2005. Status Evolution and Competition: Theory

and Evidence. The Academy of Management Journal 48 (2): 282–96.

- Phillips, Damon J., Catherine J. Turco, and Ezra W. Zuckerman. Betrayal as Market Barrier: Identity-Based Limits to Diversification among High-Status Corporate Law Firms. American Journal of Sociology 118, no. 4 (2013): 1023-1054.
- Lynn, Freda B., Joel M. Podolny, and L. Tao. 2009 A Sociological (De)Construction of the Relationship between Status and Quality. American Journal of Sociology, 115(3): 755-804.
- Rider, Christopher I., and Giacomo Negro. Organizational failure and intraprofessional status loss. Organization Science (2015).
- Bothner, Matthew S., Joel M. Podolny, and Edward Bishop Smith. 2011. Organizing Contests for Status: The Matthew Effect vs. the Mark Effect. Management Science 57 (3): 439–57.
- Sauder, Michael, Freda Lynn, and Joel M. Podolny. 2012. Status: Insights from Organizational Sociology. Annual Review of Sociology 38:267–283.

Session 4. Intra-organizational networks: Brokerage and performance

Memo question(s):

- 1. Compare the model of brokerage used by the authors this week. How are these models same or different? What theoretical and practical implications does this have?
- 2. Which relational mechanisms underlie individual performance and network advantage in organizations?

Required readings:

- Burt, Ronald S. 2004. Structural Holes and Good Ideas. American Journal of Sociology 110 (2): 349–99.
- Obstfeld, David. 2005. Social Networks, the Tertius Iungens Orientation, and Involvement in Innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly 50: 100-130.
- Reagans, Ray, and Bill McEvily. 2003. Network Structure and Knowledge Transfer: The Effects of Cohesion and Range. Administrative Science Quarterly 48 (2): 240–67.
- Hasan, Sharique, and Surendrakumar Bagde. 2015. Peers and Network Growth: Evidence from a Natural Experiment. Management Science 61 (10):2536–2547.
- Fonti F, Maoret M. 2016. The direct and indirect effects of core and peripheral social capital on organizational performance. Strategic. Management Journal 37(8):1765–1786.

Additional readings on network brokerage:

- Granovetter, Mark S. 1973. The Strength of Weak Ties. American Journal of Sociology 78: 1360-1380.
- Ryall, Michael D. and Olav Sorenson. 2007. Brokers and competitive advantage. Management Science 53: 566-583.
- Kleinbaum, Adam M. 2012. Organizational Misfits and the Origins of Brokerage in Intrafirm Networks. Administrative Science Quarterly 57:407-452.
- Shipilov, Andrew V., Stan Xiao Li, and Henrich R. Greve. 2011. "The Prince and the Pauper: Search and Brokerage in the Initiation of Status-Heterophilous Ties." Organization Science 22 (6):1418–34.
- Lingo, L. E. & O'Mahony, S. 2010. Nexus Work: Brokerage on Creative Projects. Administrative Science Quarterly, 55 (2010): 47–81
- Burt, Ronald S. 2007. Secondhand Brokerage: Evidence on the Importance of Local Structure for Managers, Bankers, and Analysts. Academy of Management Journal 50: 119 148.
- Valderes, B. & Stark, D. 2010. Structural folds. American Journal of Sociology, 15: 1150–90 Symposium on Structural Holes, including Reagans & Zuckerman, "Why Knowledge Does Not Equal Power: The Network Redundancy Tradeoff"; comments by Burt, Podolny, van de Rijt et al., and reply ("All in the Family") by Reagans and Zuckerman. Industrial and Corporate Change 17: 903-999.
- Stovel & Shaw, 2012. Brokerage. Annual Review of Sociology, 38:139-158.
- Podolny, Joel M. 2001. Networks as the Pipes and Prisms of the Market. American Journal of Sociology 107 (1):33–60.

Additional readings on homophily:

- Kleinbaum, Adam, Toby E. Stuart, and Michael L. Tushman. 2013. Discretion within Constraint: Homophily and Structure in a Formal Organization. Organization Science 24:1316-1336.
- McPherson, Miller, Lynn Smith-Lovin, and James M. Cook. 2001. "Birds of a Feather: Homophily in Social Networks." Annual Review of Sociology 27 (January):415–44.
- Ibarra, Herminia. 1992. "Homophily and Differential Returns: Sex Differences in Network Structure and Access in an Advertising Firm." Administrative Science Quarterly 37 (3):422–47.
- Reagans, Ray. 2005. "Preferences, Identity, and Competition: Predicting Tie Strength from Demographic Data." Management Science 51 (9):1374–83.

Bacharach, Samuel B., Peter A. Bamberger, and Dana Vashdi. 2005. "Diversity and Homophily at Work: Supportive Relations among White and African-American Peers." The Academy of Management Journal 48 (4):619–44.

Additional readings on tie dynamics:

- Dahlander, Linus and Daniel A. McFarland. 2013. "Ties That Last: Tie Formation and Persistence in Research Collaborations over Time." Administrative Science Quarterly 58:69-110.
- Srivastava, Sameer B. 2015. Intraorganizational Network Dynamics in Times of Ambiguity. Organization Science 26:1365-1380.
- Walter, Jorge, Daniel Z. Levin, and J. Keith Murnighan. 2015. "Reconnection Choices: Selecting the Most Valuable (vs. Most Preferred) Dormant Ties." Organization Science 26:1447-1465.

Additional readings on knowledge transfer and innovation:

- Aral, Sinan, and Marshall Van Alstyne. 2011. The Diversity-Bandwidth Trade-Off. American Journal of Sociology 117 (1):90–171.
- Hansen, Morten T. 1999. The search-transfer problem The role of weak ties in sharing knowledge across organization subunits. Administrative Science Quarterly 44: p. 82-
- Biancani, Susan, Daniel A. McFarland, and Linus Dahlander. 2014. The Semiformal Organization. Organization Science 25:1306-1324.
- Tortoriello, Marco, Ray Reagans, and Bill McEvily. 2012. Bridging the Knowledge Gap: The Influence of Strong Ties, Network Cohesion, and Network Range on the Transfer of Knowledge Between Organizational Units. Organization Science 23 (4): 1024–39.
- Reagans, Ray, and Ezra W. Zuckerman. 2001. "Networks, Diversity, and Productivity: The Social Capital of Corporate R&D Teams." Organization Science 12 (4): 502–17.
- Reagans, Ray, Ezra Zuckerman, and Bill McEvily. 2004. "How to Make the Team: Social Networks Vs. Demography as Criteria for Designing Effective Teams." Administrative Science Quarterly 49 (1): 101–33.
- Perry-Smith, Jill E., and Pier Vittorio Mannucci. 2017. "From Creativity to Innovation: The Social Network Drivers of the Four Phases of the Idea Journey." Academy of Management Review 42 (1):53–79. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0462.
- Tortoriello, Marco, and David Krackhardt. 2010. Activating Cross-Boundary Knowledge: The Role of Simmelian Ties in the Generation of Innovations. Academy of Management Journal 53 (1): 167–81.
- Borgatti, Stephen P., and Rob Cross. 2003. A Relational View of Information Seeking and Learning in Social Networks. Management Science 49 (4): 432–45.

Additional readings on network perception and cognition:

- Smith, Edward Bishop, Tanya Menon, and Leigh Thompson. 2012. Status Differences in the Cognitive Activation of Social Networks. Organization Science 23 (1): 67–82.
- Krackhardt, David. 1990. "Assessing the Political Landscape: Structure, Cognition, and Power in Organizations." Administrative Science Quarterly 35 (2): 342–69.
- Kilduff, Martin, and David Krackhardt. 1994. "Bringing the Individual Back in: A Structural Analysis of the Internal Market for Reputation in Organizations." The Academy of Management Journal 37 (1): 87–108.

Krackhardt, David. 1992. The Strength of Strong Ties: The Importance of Philos in Organizations. Networks and Organizations: Structure, Form, and Action 216: 239.

Additional readings on core/periphery structures:

- Borgatti, Stephen P, and Martin G Everett. 2000. Models of Core/periphery Structures. Social Networks 21 (4): 375–95.
- Fonti, Fabio, and Massimo Maoret. 2016. "The Direct and Indirect Effects of Core and Peripheral Social Capital on Organizational Performance." Strategic Management Journal 37 (8):1765–86. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2409.
- Cattani, Gino, and Simone Ferriani. 2008. "A Core/Periphery Perspective on Individual Creative Performance: Social Networks and Cinematic Achievements in the Hollywood Film Industry." Organization Science 19 (6):824–44.
- Cattani, Gino, Simone Ferriani, and Paul D. Allison. 2014. "Insiders, Outsiders, and the Struggle for Consecration in Cultural Fields A Core-Periphery Perspective." American Sociological Review 79 (2):258–81. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122414520960.

Additional readings on affect in networks:

- Casciaro, Tiziana, and Miguel Sousa Lobo. 2008. When Competence Is Irrelevant: The Role of Interpersonal Affect in Task-Related Ties. Administrative Science Quarterly 53 (4): 655–84.
- Casciaro, Tiziana, Kathleen M. Carley, and David Krackhardt. 1999. "Positive Affectivity and Accuracy in Social Network Perception." Motivation and Emotion 23 (4):285–306.
- Casciaro, Tiziana, and Miguel Sousa Lobo. 2014. Affective Primacy in Intraorganizational Task Networks. Organization Science 26 (2):373–89.

Additional readings:

- Fernandez, Roberto M., Emilio J. Castilla, and Paul Moore. 2000. Social Capital at Work: Networks and Employment at a Phone Center. American Journal of Sociology 105:1288-1356
- Krackhardt, David, and Daniel J. Brass. 1994. Intraorganizational Networks. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Saavedra, Serguei, Kathleen Hagerty, and Brian Uzzi. 2011. Synchronicity, Instant Messaging, and Performance among Financial Traders. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108:5296-5301.

Session 5. The Carnegie School: Towards a Neo-Behavioral Theory of the Firm

Memo question(s):

- 1. Identify the key constructs of the Carnegie school. What theoretical <u>mechanisms</u> link these constructs into a school? Looking back at past readings, which mechanisms relate to embeddedness, institutional theory and/or population ecology? Make explicit references.
- 2. How can a neo-behavioral theory of the firm help explain current, modern-day issues in management? Please provide a research question and sketch a research design to answer it.

Background reading (skim):

Gavetti, Giovanni, Henrich R. Greve, Daniel A. Levinthal, and William Ocasio. 2012. The Behavioral Theory of the Firm: Assessment and Prospects. The Academy of Management Annals 6 (1):1–40.

Required readings:

- Feldman, M.S. 2000. Organization routines as sources of continuous change. Organization Science, 11: 611-629.
- Greve, Henrich R. 1998. Performance, Aspirations, and Risky Organizational Change. Administrative Science Quarterly 43 (1): 58–86.
- Bouquet, Cyril, and Julian Birkinshaw. 2008. Weight versus Voice: How Foreign Subsidiaries Gain Attention from Corporate Headquarters. Academy of Management Journal 51 (3):577–601.
- Ocasio, William. 1994. Political Dynamics and the Circulation of Power: CEO Succession in U.S. Industrial Corporations, 1960-1990. Administrative Science Quarterly 39 (2):285–312.
- Tripsas, Mary, and Giovanni Gavetti. 2000. Capabilities, Cognition, and Inertia: Evidence from Digital Imaging. Strategic Management Journal 21 (10-11): 1147–61.

Additional reviews on the Carnegie School:

- Argote, Linda, and Henrich R. Greve. 2007. A Behavioral Theory of the Firm': 40 Years and Counting: Introduction and Impact. Organization Science 18 (3): 337–49.
- Gavetti, G., D. Levinthal, and W. Ocasio. 2007. Neo-Carnegie: The Carnegie School's past, present, and reconstructing for the future. Organization Science 18:523-536

Additional readings on organizational adaptation, search, feedback and learning:

- March, J.G. 1991. Exploration and Exploitation in Organizational Learning. Organization Science 2(1):71-87.
- Audia, P. G., and H. R. Greve. 2006. Less likely to fail: Low performance, firm size, and factory expansion in the shipbuilding industry. Management Science 52 (1):83-94.
- Barnett, William P., and Morten T. Hansen. 1996. "The Red Queen in Organizational Evolution." Strategic Management Journal 17 (S1):139–157.
- Baum, Joel AC, and Kristina B. Dahlin. 2007. Aspiration Performance and Railroads'
 Patterns of Learning from Train Wrecks and Crashes. Organization Science 18 (3):368–385
- Barnett, William P., and Elizabeth G. Pontikes. 2008. The Red Queen, Success Bias, and Organizational Inertia. Management Science 54 (7): 1237–51.
- Cohen, W.S. & Levinthal, D.A. 1990. Absorptive capacity: A New Perspective on Learning and Innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35: 128-152.
- Eggers, Jamie P., and Sarah Kaplan. 2009. "Cognition and Renewal: Comparing CEO and Organizational Effects on Incumbent Adaptation to Technical Change." Organization Science 20 (2):461–477.

- Ethiraj, Sendil K., and Daniel Levinthal. 2004. "Bounded Rationality and the Search for Organizational Architecture: An Evolutionary Perspective on the Design of Organizations and Their Evolvability." Administrative Science Quarterly 49 (3):404–37.
- Greve, Henrich R. 2002. Sticky Aspirations: Organizational Time Perspective and Competitiveness. Organization Science 13 (1):1–17.
- Kaplan, Sarah. 2008. Cognition, Capabilities, and Incentives: Assessing Firm Response to the Fiber-Optic Revolution. Academy of Management Journal 51 (4):672–95.
- Levinthal, D. A. 1997. Adaptation on rugged landscapes. Management science, 43(7), 934-950.
- Levinthal, Daniel A., and James G. March. 1993. "The Myopia of Learning." Strategic Management Journal 14 (S2):95–112.
- Levitt, B. & March, J.G. 1988. Organization Learning. Annual Review of Sociology, 14: 319-340
- March, J.G. 1996. Continuity and change in theories of organizational action. Administrative Science Quarterly, 41: 278-287.
- March, James G. 1981. "Footnotes to Organizational Change." Administrative Science Quarterly, 563–577.
- Padgett, John F. 1980. "Bounded Rationality in Budgetary Research." American Political Science Review 74 (2):354–372.
- Rivkin, Jan W., and Nicolaj Siggelkow. 2003. Balancing Search and Stability: Interdependencies among Elements of Organizational Design. Management Science 49 (3):290–311.
- Gavetti, Giovanni, and Daniel Levinthal. 2000. Looking Forward and Looking Backward: Cognitive and Experiential Search. Administrative Science Quarterly 45 (1):113–37.

Additional readings on organizational attention:

- Cho, Theresa S., and Donald C. Hambrick. 2006. Attention as the Mediator Between Top Management Team Characteristics and Strategic Change: The Case of Airline Deregulation. Organization Science 17 (4):453–69.
- Rosenkopf, Lori, Anca Metiu, and Varghese P. George. 2001. "From the Bottom up? Technical Committee Activity and Alliance Formation." Administrative Science Quarterly 46 (4):748–772.
- Ocasio, William. 2011. Attention to Attention. Organization Science 22 (5):1286–96.
- Jacobides, Michael G. 2007. "The Inherent Limits of Organizational Structure and the Unfulfilled Role of Hierarchy: Lessons from a near-War." Organization Science 18 (3):455–477
- Ocasio, W. 1997. Towards an attention-based view of the firm. Strategic Management Journal 18:20.

Additional readings on organizational politics:

- Zhang, Yan. 2006. "The Presence of a Separate COO/President and Its Impact on Strategic Change and CEO Dismissal." Strategic Management Journal 27 (3):283–300.
- Shen, Wei, and Albert A. Cannella. 2002. "Power Dynamics within Top Management and Their Impacts on CEO Dismissal Followed by inside Succession." Academy of Management Journal 45 (6):1195–1206.
- Bourgeois, L. J., and K. M. Eisenhardt. 1988. Politics of Strategic Decision Making in High-Velocity Environments: Toward a Midrange Theory. Academy of Management Journal 31 (4):737–770.

March, James G. 1962. "The Business Firm as a Political Coalition." The Journal of Politics 24 (4):662–678.

Additional readings on organizational routines and capabilities:

- Salvato, Carlo, and Claus Rerup. 2017. Routine Regulation: Balancing Conflicting Goals in Organizational Routines. Administrative Science Quarterly, April
- Zbaracki, Mark J., and Mark Bergen. 2010. When Truces Collapse: A Longitudinal Study of Price-Adjustment Routines. Organization Science 21 (5):955–972.
- Gavetti, Giovanni. 2005. Cognition and Hierarchy: Rethinking the Microfoundations of Capabilities' Development. Organization Science 16 (6):599–617.
- Gavetti, Giovanni, and Jan W. Rivkin. 2007. On the Origin of Strategy: Action and Cognition over Time. Organization Science 18 (3): 420–39.
- Tripsas, Mary, and Giovanni Gavetti. 2000. Capabilities, Cognition, and Inertia: Evidence from Digital Imaging. Strategic Management Journal 21 (10-11): 1147–61.

Additional readings on the garbage can model:

- Cohen, M.D., March, J.G. & Olsen, J.P. 1972. A garbage can model of organizational choice". Administrative Science Quarterly, 17: 1-25.
- Levitt, B. & Nass, C. 1989. The lid on garbage can: Institutional constraints on decision making in the technical core of college-text publishers. Administrative Science Quarterly, 34: 190-207
- Bendor, Jonathan, Terry Moe, and Ken Schotts. 2001. "Recycling the Garbage Can: An Assessment of the Research Program." APSR 95, 1: 169-190. Reply by Johan Olsen, "Garbage Cans, New Institutionalism, and the Study of Politics." Pp. 191-198.
- Padgett, John F. 1980. "Managing Garbage Can Hierarchies." Administrative Science Quarterly, 583–604.

Session 6. Institutional logics and institutional entrepreneurship

Memo question(s):

- 1. Under what conditions should we expect to see an institutional logic dominate versus seeing a co-existence of a plurality of logics?
- 2. Institutional maintenance versus change is a central debate in institutional theory. First, compare these articles on where change comes from and how this influences the evolution of an institutional field. Then provide a theoretical model that predicts the stability and change of an institutional field.

Required readings:

- Greenwood, Royston, and Roy Suddaby. 2006. Institutional Entrepreneurship in Mature Fields: The Big Five Accounting Firms. Academy of Management Journal 49 (1):27–48.
- Etzion, Dror, and Fabrizio Ferraro. 2010. The Role of Analogy in the Institutionalization of Sustainability Reporting. Organization Science 21 (5):1092-1107.
- Lounsbury, Michael. 2007. A Tale of Two Cities: Competing Logics and Practice Variation in the Professionalizing of Mutual Funds. Academy of Management Journal 50 (2):289–307.

- Almandoz J. 2012. Arriving at the Starting Line: The Impact of Community and Financial Logics on New Banking Ventures. Academy of Management Journal 55(6):1381–1406.
- Pache, Anne-Claire, and Filipe Santos. 2013. Inside the Hybrid Organization: Selective Coupling as a Response to Competing Institutional Logics. Academy of Management Journal 56 (4):972–1001.

Additional readings on institutional theories of diffusion:

- Tolbert, Pamela S., and Lynne G. Zucker. 1983. Institutional Sources of Change in the Formal Structure of Organizations: The Diffusion of Civil Service Reform, 1880-1935. Administrative Science Quarterly 28 (1): 22–39.
- Westphal, James D., Ranjay Gulati, and Stephen M. Shortell. 1997. "Customization or Conformity? An Institutional and Network Perspective on the Content and Consequences of TQM Adoption." Administrative Science Quarterly 42 (2): 366–94.
- Jones, C. & Massa, F. 2013. From Novel Practice to Consecrated Exemplar: Unity Temple as a Case of Institutional Evangelizing. Organization Studies, 34: 1099-1136
- Zucker, Lynne G. 1977. "The Role of Institutionalization in Cultural Persistence." American Sociological Review 42 (5): 726–43.
- Ansari, Shahzad M., Peer C. Fiss, and Edward J. Zajac. 2010. "Made to Fit: How Practices Vary As They Diffuse." Academy of Management Review 35 (1): 67–92.
- Colyvas, Jeannette A., and Stefan Jonsson. 2011. "Ubiquity and Legitimacy: Disentangling Diffusion and Institutionalization*." Sociological Theory 29 (1): 27–53.
- Fligstein, Neil 1985. "The Spread of the Multidivisional Form Among Large Firms, 1919-1979," ASR, June 1985:377-391.
- Fiss, Peer C., Mark T. Kennedy, and Gerald F. Davis. How golden parachutes unfolded: Diffusion and variation of a controversial practice. Organization Science 23, no. 4 (2012): 1077-1099.
- Briscoe, F., S. Safford. 2008. The Nixon-in-China Effect: Activism, Imitation, and the Institutionalization of Contentious Practices. Administrative Science Quarterly 53(3) 460-491.

Additional readings on institutional complexity and hybrid organizations:

- Battilana, Julie, and Silvia Dorado. 2010. Building Sustainable Hybrid Organizations: The Case of Commercial Microfinance Organizations. Academy of Management Journal 53(6):1419–1440.
- Greenwood, R., Raynard, M., Kodieh, F., Micelotta, E.R. & Lounsbury, M. 2011. Institutional Complexity and Organizational Responses. Academy of Management Annals, 5: 317-371.(40 pp)
- Oliver, C. 1991. 'Strategic responses to institutional processes. Academy of Management Review, 16: 145-179.

Additional readings on institutional work and change:

Kellogg, K. 2009. Operating Room: Relational Spaces and Microinstitutional Change in Surgery. American Journal of Sociology, 115: 657–711

- DiMaggio, P.J. 1988. Interest and agency in institutional theory. In L.G. Zucker (ed), Institutional patterns and organizations: Culture and environment, pp. 3-21. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger Pub. Co..: Interest and agency in institutional theory.
- Emirbayer, M. & Mische, A. 1998. What is agency? American Journal of Sociology, 103: 962-1023
- Seo, M-G. & Creed, W.E.D. 2002. Institutional contradictions, Praxis and Institutional change: A dialectical perspective. Academy of Management Review, 27: 222-247.
- Stinchcombe, A. L. 2002. New sociological microfoundations for organizational theory: A postscript. In M. Lounsbury & M. J. Ventresca (Eds.), Research in the Sociology of Organizations 19: 415–433. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Rao, H., Monin, P., & Durand, R. 2003. Institutional change in Toque Ville: Nouvelle cuisine as an identity movement in French gastronomy. American Journal of Sociology, 108, 795–843.
- Smets, M., Morris, T. & Greenwood, R. 2012. From Practice to Field: A Multilevel Model of PracticeDriven Institutional Change, Academy of Management Journal, 55: 877-904.
- Colyvas, J.A. & Powell, W.W. 2006. Roads to institutionalization: The remaking of boundaries between public and private science. Research in Organizational Behavior: 27: 305-353.
- Leblebici, H., Salancik, G.R., Copay, A. and King, T. 1991 Institutional change and the transformation of interorganizational fields: An organizational history of the U.S. radio broadcasting industry,' Administrative Science Quarterly, 36, 333-363.
- Heugens, P.P.M.A.R. & Lander, M.W. 2009. Structure! Agency!(And other quarrels): A metanalysis of institutional theories of organization. Academy of Management Journal, 52: 61-85.
- Lawrence, T. B. & Suddaby, R. 2006. Institutions and institutional work, pp. 215–254. In S. R. Clegg, C. Hardy, T. B. Lawrence, and W. R. Nord (eds.),
- Maguire, Steve, Cynthia Hardy, and Thomas B. Lawrence. 2004. Institutional Entrepreneurship in Emerging Fields: HIV/AIDS Treatment Advocacy in Canada. The Academy of Management Journal 47 (5): 657–79.

Additional readings on institutional logics:

- Dunn, M.B. & Jones, C. 2010. Institutional logics and institutional pluralism: The contestation of care and science logics in medical education, 1967-2005. Administrative Science Quarterly, 55: 114–149.
- Thornton, P.H. & Ocasio. W. 2008. Institutional logics. In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, R. Suddaby & K. Sahlin-Andersson (editors), Handbook of Institutional Theory: pp. 99-129. London: Sage Publications.
- McPherson, C.M. & Sauder, M. 2013. Logics in Action: Managing Institutional Complexity in a Drug Court. Administrative Science Quarterly, 58: 165-196.
- Thornton, Patricia H., and William Ocasio. 1999. Institutional Logics and the Historical Contingency of Power in Organizations: Executive Succession in the Higher Education Publishing Industry, 1958–1990. American Journal of Sociology 105 (3):801–43.

Additional readings on legitimacy:

Suddaby, Roy, Alex Bitektine, and Patrick Haack. 2017. "Legitimacy." Academy of Management Annals 11 (1):451–78. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0101.Suddaby, R. & Greenwood, R. 2005 Rhetorical strategies of legitimacy. Administrative Science Quarterly, 50: 35-67

- Schneiberg, M. & Clemens, E.S. 2006. The Typical Tools for the Job: Research Strategies in Institutional Analysis. Sociological Theory, 24: 195-227.
- Suchman, Mark C. 1995. Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. Academy of Management Review 20 (3):571–610.
- Graffin, Scott D., and Andrew J. Ward. 2010. "Certifications and Reputation: Determining the Standard of Desirability Amidst Uncertainty." Organization Science 21 (2):331–46.
- Sine, Wesley D., Robert J. David, and Hitoshi Mitsuhashi. 2007. "From Plan to Plant: Effects of Certification on Operational Start-up in the Emergent Independent Power Sector." Organization Science 18 (4):578–94.

Additional readings on de-institutionalization:

- Maguire, Steve, and Cynthia Hardy. 2009. "Discourse and Deinstitutionalization: The Decline of DDT". The Academy of Management Journal 52 (1): 148–78.
- Oliver, Christine. 1992. "The Antecedents of Deinstitutionalization." Organization Studies 13 (4):563–588.
- Davis, Gerald F., Kristina A. Diekmann, and Catherine H. Tinsley. 1994. The decline and fall of the conglomerate firm in the 1980s: The deinstitutionalization of an organizational form. American Sociological Review, 59: 547-570.

Session 7. Sense-Making, Framing, and Symbolic Management

Memo question(s):

- 1. Provide a typology of symbolic management tactics. For each tactic, please specify the conditions under which we should expect each tactic to succeed, or fail.
- 2. How do the following readings reject, integrate or reaffirm some of the organizational theories that we have analyzed so far?

Required readings:

- Kennedy, Mark T. 2008. Getting Counted: Markets, Media, and Reality. American Sociological Review 73:270–295.
- Zbaracki, Mark J. 1998. The Rhetoric and Reality of Total Quality Management. Administrative Science Quarterly 43 (3): 602–36.
- Fiss, Peer C., and Edward J. Zajac. The symbolic management of strategic change: Sensegiving via framing and decoupling. *Academy of Management Journal* 49, no. 6 (2006): 1173-1193.
- Granqvist, Nina, Stine Grodal, and Jennifer L. Woolley. Hedging your bets: Explaining executives' market labeling strategies in nanotechnology. *Organization Science* 24, no. 2 (2013): 395-413.
- McDonnell, Mary-Hunter, and Brayden King. 2013. Keeping up Appearances: Reputational Threat and Impression Management after Social Movement Boycotts. Administrative Science Quarterly 58 (3):387–419.

Additional readings on social construction of markets:

- Abbolafia, M. & Kilduff, M. 1988. Enacting market crisis: The social construction of a speculative bubble. Administrative Science Quarterly, 33: 177-194.
- Porac, J. F., H. Thomas, F. Wilson, D. Paton, and A. Kanfer. 1995. Rivalry and the Industry Model of Scottish Knitwear Producers. Administrative Science Quarterly, 40: 203-27
- Porac, J. F., J. B. Wade, and T. G. Pollock. 1999. Industry categorizations and the politics of the comparable firm in CEO compensation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44: 112-144.
- Porac, Joseph F., Howard Thomas, and Charles Baden-Fuller. 1989. "Competitive Groups as Cognitive Communities: The Case of Scottish Knitwear Manufacturers." Journal of Management Studies 26 (4):397–416.

Additional readings on organizational sense-making:

Balogun, Julia, and Gerry Johnson. 2004. Organizational Restructuring and Middle Manager Sensemaking. The Academy of Management Journal 47 (4): 523–49.

Additional readings on social construction of technology:

- Barley, Stephen, 1986. Technology as an occasion for structuring. ASQ 31: 78-108.
- Garud R, Rappa MA. 1994. A Socio-Cognitive Model of Technology Evolution: The Case of Cochlear Implants. Organization Science 5(3):344–362.
- Orlikowski, W.J. The Duality of Technology: Rethinking the Concept of Technology in Organizations. Organization Science, 3, 3, 1992: 398-427.
- Orlikowski, W.J. Using Technology and Constituting Structures: A Practice Lens for Studying Technology in Organizations. Organization Science, 11, 4, 2000: 404–428.

Additional readings on framing and symbolic management:

- Crilly, Donal, Maurizio Zollo, and Morten T. Hansen. Faking it or muddling through? Understanding decoupling in response to stakeholder pressures. *Academy of Management Journal* 55, no. 6 (2012): 1429-1448.
- Fiss, Peer C., and Paul M. Hirsch. The discourse of globalization: Framing and sensemaking of an emerging concept. American Sociological Review 70, no. 1 (2005): 29-52.
- Durand, Rodolphe, and Jean Philippe Vergne. Asset divestment as a response to media attacks in stigmatized industries. *Strategic Management Journal* (2014).
- Goffman, Erving. 1974. *Frame Analysis: An Essay on the Organization of Experience*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Chapters 1-3; 5; 7; 9-10; 14.
- Diehl, David and Daniel McFarland. 2010. Toward a Historical Sociology of Social Situations. American Journal of Sociology 115: 1713-1752.
- Fiss, Peer C., and Edward J. Zajac. The diffusion of ideas over contested terrain: The (non) adoption of a shareholder value orientation among German firms. Administrative Science Quarterly 49, no. 4 (2004): 501-534.
- Benford, Robert D., and David A. Snow. 2000. Framing Processes and Social Movements: An Overview and Assessment. Annual Review of Sociology 26 (1):611–639.

Additional readings on naming:

Ingram, Paul. 1996. "Organizational Form as a Solution to the Problem of Credible Commitment: The Evolution of Naming Strategies among U.S. Hotel Chains, 1896–1980." Strategic Management Journal 17 (S1):85–98.

Phillips, Damon J., and Young-Kyu Kim. 2009. "Why Pseudonyms? Deception as Identity Preservation among Jazz Record Companies, 1920–1929." Organization Science 20 (3):481–499.

Additional readings on practice theory:

- Kaplan, S. and Orlikowski, W.J. Temporal Work in Strategy Making. Organization Science, 24, 4, 2013: 965-995.
- Orlikowski, W.J. Improvising Organizational Transformation over Time: A Situated Change Perspective. Information Systems Research, 7, 1, 1996: 63-92.
- Tsoukas, H. and Chia, R. "On organizational becoming: Rethinking organizational change." Organization Science, 13, 2002: 567-582

Session 8. Categories and evaluation processes

Memo question(s):

- 1. How does the concept of "category" vary across the various readings? Which one do you find most compelling, and why?
- 2. The concept of "category" is quite popular in organizational theory these days. Given your reading of this week's articles, what is your take on the study of categories and categorization? How do these studies related to previously established theories (e.g. behavioral theory, population ecology and neo-institutional theory), and to what extend do they offer a novel theoretical advancement?

Required readings:

- Zuckerman, Ezra W. 1999. The Categorical Imperative: Securities Analysts and the Illegitimacy Discount. American Journal of Sociology 104: 1398-1438.
- Leung, Ming D. and Amanda J. Sharkey. 2014. Out of Sight, Out of Mind? The Audience side Effect of Multi-category Membership in Markets. Organization Science, 25 (1) p.171- 184.
- Deephouse, David L. 1999. To Be Different, or to Be the Same? It's a Question (and Theory) of Strategic Balance. Strategic Management Journal 20 (2):147–66.
- Pontikes, E.G. 2012. Two Sides of the Same Coin: How Ambiguous Classification Affects Multiple Audiences' Evaluations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 57(1) 81-118.
- Jones, C, Maoret, M., Massa, F.G. and Svejenova, S. 2011. Rebels with a Cause: Formation, Contestation, and Expansion of the De Novo Category 'Modern Architecture,' 1870–1975. Organization Science 23 (6): 1523–45.

Additional readings on categories and valuation:

Bitektine, Alex. 2011. "Toward a Theory of Social Judgments of Organizations: The Case of Legitimacy, Reputation, and Status." Academy of Management Review 36 (1):151–179. Botelho, Tristan L., and Mabel Abraham. 2017. "Pursuing Quality: How Search Costs and Uncertainty Magnify Gender-Based Double Standards in a Multistage Evaluation Process." Administrative Science Quarterly 62 (4):698–730.

- Balazs Kovacs and Amanda Sharkey (2014) The Paradox of Publicity: How Awards Can Negatively Affect the Evaluation of Quality. Administrative Science Quarterly 59: 1-33.
- Amir Goldberg, Michael Hannan, Balazs Kovacs (2016) What Does It Mean to Span Cultural Boundaries? Variety and Atypicality in Cultural Consumption. American Sociological Review 81: 215-241.
- Gianluca Carnabuci, Elisa Operti, Balazs Kovacs (2015) Categorical imperative and structural reproduction: Insights from the global semiconductor industry. Organization Science 26(6): 1734-1751.
- Zuckerman, Ezra W. 2017. The Categorical Imperative Revisited: Implications of Categorization as a Theoretical Tool. In From Categories to Categorization: Studies in Sociology, Organizations and Strategy at the Crossroads, 51:31–68. Research in the Sociology of Organizations 51. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Hsu, G. & Hannan, M.T. 2005. Identities, Genres, and Organizational Forms. Organization Science, 16: 474-490
- Negro, Giacomo, and Ming D. Leung. 2013. 'Actual' and Perceptual Effects of Category Spanning. Organization Science 24 (3):684–696.
- Leung, Ming D., and Amanda J. Sharkey. 2013. Out of Sight, out of Mind? Evidence of Perceptual Factors in the Multiple-Category Discount. Organization Science 25 (1):171–184.
- Hannan, M.T. 2010. Partiality of Memberships in Categories and Audiences. Annual Review of Sociology, 36: 159-181.
- Hsu, Greta and Stine Grodal. 2015. Category Taken-for-Grantedness as a Strategic Opportunity: The Case of Light Cigarettes, 1964 to 1993. American Sociological Review, Vol. 80(1) 28 –62.
- Hsu, G. 2006. Jacks of all trades and masters of none: Audiences' reactions to spanning genres in feature film production. Administrative Science Quarterly, 51: 420–50.
- Fleischer, A. 2009. Ambiguity and the Equity Rating Systems: United States Brokerage Firms, 1995-2000. Administrative Science Quarterly, 54: 555-574.
- Rao, H. P. Monin, and R. Durand 2005. Border crossing: Bricolage and erosion of categorical boundaries in French gastronomy. American Sociological Review, 70: 968-991.
- Ruef, M. and K. Patterson. 2009. Credit and classification: The impact of industry boundaries in 19th century America. Administrative Science Quarterly, 54(3): 486-520.
- Zuckerman, Ezra W, Tai-Young Kim, Kalinda Ukanwa, and James von Rittmann. 2003. "Robust Identities or Non-Entities? Typecasting in the Feature Film Labor Market." American Journal of Sociology 108: 1018-1075.
- Sharkey, Amanda J. 2014. "Categories and Organizational Status: The Role of Industry Status in the Response to Organizational Deviance." American Journal of Sociology 119 (5): 1380–1433.
- Navis, Chad, and Mary Ann Glynn. 2010. How New Market Categories Emerge: Temporal Dynamics of Legitimacy, Identity, and Entrepreneurship in Satellite Radio, 1990-2005. Administrative Science Quarterly 55 (3): 439–71.
- Rosa, J. A., J. F. Porac, J. Runser-Spanjol, M. Saxon. 1999. Sociocognitive Dynamics in a Product Market. Journal of Marketing 63 64-77.

Session 9. Identity and authenticity

Memo question(s):

1. To what extent are this week readings consistent with each other? Please provide a theoretical framework that a) defines the concept of "authenticity" and b) reconciles the readings for this week. How does your framework help us better explain reality? Provide examples.

Required readings:

- Padgett, John F., and Christopher K. Ansell. 1993. Robust Action and the Rise of the Medici, 1400-1434. American Journal of Sociology 98 (6):1259–1319.
- Tripsas, Mary. 2009. Technology, Identity, and Inertia through the Lens of 'The Digital Photography Company. Organization Science 20 (2):441–60.
- Hahl, Oliver, Minjae Kim, and Ezra W. Zuckerman Sivan. 2017. The Authentic Appeal of the Lying Demagogue: Proclaiming the Deeper Truth About Political Illigitimacy. SocArXiv, July. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/TKPN5.
- Hahl, Oliver. 2016. Turning Back the Clock in Baseball: The Increased Prominence of Extrinsic Rewards and Demand for Authenticity. Organization Science 27 (4):929–953.
- Kovács, Balázs, Glenn R. Carroll, and David W. Lehman. 2013. "Authenticity and Consumer Value Ratings: Empirical Tests from the Restaurant Domain." Organization Science 25 (2):458–478.

Additional readings on identity and authenticity:

Lehman, David W., Balázs Kovács, and Glenn R. Carroll. 2014. Conflicting Social Codes and Organizations: Hygiene and Authenticity in Consumer Evaluations of Restaurants. Management Science 60 (10):2602–2617.

Hannan, Michael, Giacomo Negro, Hayagreeva Rao, and Ming De Leung. 2007. No Barrique, No Berlusconi: Collective Identity, Contention, and Authenticity in the Making of Barolo and Barbaresco Wines.

Greta Hsu, Balazs Kovacs, Ozgecan Kocak (2017) "Co-opt or co-exist? A study of medical cannabis dispensaries identity-based responses to recreational use legalization in Colorado and Washington" Forthcoming in Organization Science

Kieran O'Connor, Glenn Carroll, Balazs Kovacs (2017) Disambiguating authenticity: Testing for patterned choice among authentic items. PLOS One 12(6): e0179187. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179187

Balazs Kovacs, Glenn R. Carroll, and David W. Lehman (2017) "The Perils Of Proclaiming An Authentic Organizational Identity" Sociological Science 4: 80-106

Brubaker, Rogers and Frederick Cooper. 2000. Beyond Identity. Theory and Society 29: 1-47. Cerulo, Karen A. 1997. Identity Construction: New Issues, New Direction. Annual Review of Sociology 23: 385-409.

Session 10. Culture and Cognition

Memo question(s): TBD

Required readings:

- Emirbayer, Mustafa and Jeff Goodwin. 1994. Network Analysis, Culture, and the Problem of Agency. American Journal of Sociology 99: 1411-1454.
- DellaPosta, Daniel, Yongren Shi, and Michael Macy. 2015. Why Do Liberals Drink Lattes? *American Journal of Sociology* 120:1473-1511.
- Srivastava, Sameer B., Amir Goldberg, V. Govind Manian, and Christopher Potts. 2017. Enculturation Trajectories: Language, Cultural Adaptation, and Individual Outcomes in Organizations. Management Science, March
- Hahl, Oliver, Ezra W. Zuckerman, and Minjae Kim. 2017. Why Elites Love Authentic Lowbrow Culture: Overcoming High-Status Denigration with Outsider Art. American Sociological Review 82 (4):828-56.
- Corritore, Matthew, Amir Goldberg, and Sameer B. Srivastava. 2017. Duality in Diversity: Cultural Heterogeneity, Language, and Firm Performance. Working paper.

Additional readings on culture:

- Mohr, John W., Robin Wagner-Pacifici, Ronald L. Breiger, and Petko Bogdanov. 2013. Graphing the Grammar of Motives in National Security Strategies: Cultural Interpretation, Automated Text Analysis and the Drama of Global Politics. Poetics 41:670-700.
- Bethany Bryson, 2001. Symbolic Exclusion and Musical Dislikes. Pp. 108-119 in *Cultural Sociology*, edited by Lyn Spillman. New York: Blackwell.
- Carroll, Glenn R., and J. Richard Harrison. 1998. Organizational demography and culture: Insights from a formal model and simulation. Administrative Science Quarterly, 43: 637-667.
- Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil Cristian, Lillian Lee, Bo Pang, and Jon Kleinberg. 2012. Echoes of Power: Language Effects and Power Differences in Social Interaction. Proceedings of the 21st International World Wide Web Conference, 2012.
- DiMaggio, P., Culture and Economy, In N. J. Smelser and R. Swedberg, eds., The Handbook of Economic Sociology, Princeton, NJ: Princeton U.P., 1994: 27-57
- Goffman, Erving.1986. *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity*. New York: Simon & Schuster. Chapters 1, 2, and 5.
- Kunda, Gideon. 1992. Engineering Culture: Control and Commitment in a High-Tech Corporation. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Lieberson, Stanley. 2000. A Matter of Taste: How Names, Fashion and Culture Change. New Haven: Yale University Press
- Michèle Lamont and Mario Small. 2008. "How Culture Explains Poverty: Thickening our Understanding." Pp. 76-102 in *The Colors of Poverty*, edited by David Harris and Ann Lin. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Omar Lizardo. 2006. How Cultural Tastes Shape Personal Networks. *American Sociological Review*. 71: 778-807.
- Paul DiMaggio.1997. "Culture and Cognition." Annual Review of Sociology. 23: 263-287.
- Peterson, Richard A., and David G. Berger. 1975. Cycles in symbol production: The case of popular music. American Sociological Review, 40: 158-173.
- Polavieja, Javier G. 2015. "Capturing Culture: A New Method to Estimate Exogenous Cultural Effects Using Migrant Populations." *American Sociological Review* 80:166-191.
- Srivastava, Sameer B. and Mahzarin R. Banaji. 2011. "Culture, Cognition, and Collaborative Networks in Organizations." *American Sociological Review*. 76: 207-233.
- Stets, Jan E. and Peter J. Burke. 2000. "Identity Theory and Social Identity Theory." *Social Psychology Quarterly* 63:224-237.

Swidler, Ann. 1986. Culture in action: Symbols and strategies. American Sociological Review, 51: 273-286.

Yeung, King-To and John Levi Martin. 2003. "The Looking Glass Self: An Empirical Test and Elaboration." *Social Forces* 81:843-881.

Selected readings on other topics not covered in this course

Social Movements and Organizations

- Davis, Gerald, Calvin Morrill, Hayagreeva Rao, and Sarah Soule. 2008. Introduction: Social Movements in Organizations and Markets. Administrative Science Quarterly 53: 389-394
- Jung, Wooseok, Brayden King, and Sarah Soule. 2014. Issue Bricolage: Explaining the Configuration of the Social Movement Sector. American Journal of Sociology 120(1):187-225
- Dan Wang, and Sarah A. Soule. 2012. Social Movement Organizational Collaboration: Networks of Learning and the Diffusion of Protest Tactics. American Journal of Sociology
- Lori Yue, Hayagreeva Rao, and Paul Ingram. 2013. Informational Spillovers from Protests against Corporations: A Tale of Walmart and Target. Administrative Science Quarterly. 58: 669-701
- Hiatt, Shon R., Wesley D. Sine, and Pamela S. Tolbert. From Pabst to Pepsi: The deinstitutionalization of social practices and the creation of entrepreneurial opportunities. Administrative Science Quarterly 54, no. 4 (2009): 635-667.
- Campbell, John L. 2005. Where Do We Stand? Common mechanisms in organizations and social movement research. Chapter 2 in Social Movements and Organization Theory (ed. Gerald Davis, Doug McAdam, Richard Scott and Mayer Zald). New York, Cambridge University Press
- Sine, Wesley, and Brandon Lee. 2009. Tilting at Windmills? The Environmental Movement and the Emergence of the US Wind Energy Sector. Administrative Science Quarterly 54(1): 123-155
- Weber, Klaus, Hayagreeva Rao, and L. G. Thomas. 2009. From Streets to Suites: How the Anti- Biotech Movement Affected German Pharmaceutical Firms. American Sociological Review 74 (1):106–27.
- Schneiberg, Marc, Marissa King, and Thomas Smith. 2008. Social Movements and Organizational Form: Cooperative Alternatives to Corporations in the American Insurance, Diary, and Grain Industries. American Sociological Review 73(4): 635-667
- Sarah A. Soule. 2012. "Social Movements and Markets, Industries, and Firms." Organization Studies
- King, Brayden. 2008. A Political Mediation Model of Corporate Response to Social Movement Activism. Administrative Science Quarterly 53(3)" 395-421
- McAdam, Doug and Richard Scott. 2005. Organizations and movements. Chapter 1 in Social Movements and Organization Theory (ed. Gerald Davis, Doug McAdam, Richard Scott and Mayer Zald). New York, Cambridge University Press
- Hayagreeva Rao, and Sunasir Dutta. 2012. Free Spaces as Organizational Weapons of the Weak: Religious Festivals and Regimental Mutinies in the Bengal Native Army, 1857 Administrative Science Quarterly 57(4): 625-668
- Davis, Gerald and Mayer Zald. 2005. Social Change, Social Theory, and the Convergence of Movements and Organizations. Chapter 12 in Social Movements and Organization Theory (eds. Gerald F. Davis, Doug McAdam, W. Richard Scott, and Mayer N. Zald). New York, Cambridge University Press
- Sarah A. Soule. 2012. Targeting Organizations: Private and Contentious Politics. Research in the Sociology of Organization
- Soule, Sarah, and Brayden King. 2008. Competition and Resource Partitioning in Three Social Movement Industries. American Journal of Sociology 113(6):1568-1610

McCarthy, John D., and Mayer N. Zald. 1977. "Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory." American Journal of Sociology 82 (6):1212–1241.

The population ecology of organizations

Additional readings on population ecology foundations:

- Amos Hawley. 1992. The Logic of Macrosociology. Annual Review of Sociology. 18:1-14.
- Hannan, M. T. & Freeman, J. 1977. The population ecology of organizations. American Journal of Sociology, 82: 929-964.
- McPherson, J.M. 1983. "An ecology of affiliation." *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 48, pp.519-535.
- Stinchcombe, A. 1965. Social structure and organizations. In James G. March (Ed.), Handbook of Organizations: 142-169. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Baum, Joel A. C. and Walter W. Powell. 1995. Cultivating an Institutional Ecology of Organizations: Comment on Hannan, Carroll, Dundon, and Torres. American Sociological Review 60: 529-538.
- Carroll, Glenn R. and Michael T. Hannan. 1995. Theory Building and Cheap Talk About Legitimation: Reply to Baum and Powell." American Sociological Review 60: 539-544.

Additional readings on niche theory:

- Podolny JM, TE Stuart, MT Hannan. 1996. Networks, knowledge, and niches: competition in the worldwide semiconductor industry 1984–1991. *American Journal of Sociology*, 102:659–89.
- Dobrev, SD, TY Kim, and MT Hannan. 2000. Dynamics of Niche Width and Resource Partitioning. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(5) 1299-1337.
- Glenn R. Carroll. 1985. "Concentration and Specialization: Dynamics of Niche Width in Populations of Organizations." *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 90, No. 6, pp. 1262-1283.
- Freeman, John and Michael T. Hannan 1983. Niche width and the dynamics of organizational populations. American Journal of Sociology 88:1116-45.
- Baum, Joel A. C. and Jitendra V. Singh. 1994. Organizational Niches and the Dynamics of Organizational Mortality. American Journal of Sociology, Vol. 100, No. 2, pp. 346-380.

<u>Additional readings on inertia and age-dependence:</u>

- Hannan, Michael T. and John Freeman. 1984. Structural Inertia and Organizational Change. American Sociological Review, Vol. 49, No. 2., pp. 149-164.
- Freeman, John, Glenn R. Carroll and Michael T. Hannan 1983. The liability of newness: age-dependence in organizational death rates. *American Sociological Review* 48:692-710.
- Sørensen, Jesper B., and Toby E. Stuart. 2000. Aging, Obsolescence, and Organizational Innovation. Administrative Science Quarterly 45 (1): 81–112.

Additional readings on theoretical extensions:

Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1987. Competition and mutualism among early telephone companies. Administrative Science Quarterly, 32: 400-421.

- Haveman, H. & Rao, H. 1997. Structuring a theory of moral sentiments: Institutional and organizational coevolution in the early thrift industry. American Journal of Sociology, 102:1606-1651.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. "Between a Rock and a Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance Under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation." Administrative Science Quarterly 37 (1): 48–75. doi:10.2307/2393533.
- Carroll, G. and A. Swaminathan. 2000. Why the Microbrewery Movement?: Organizational Dynamics of Resource Partitioning in the U.S. Brewing Industry. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(3) 715-62.

Boundaries of the firm and TCE:

- Alcacer, J. and Oxley, J. (2014), Learning by supplying. Strategic Management Journal 35: 204-223.
- Azoulay, Pierre. 2003. Acquiring knowledge within and across firm boundaries: Evidence from clinical development. Working paper, Columbia University.
- Baker, George, and Thomas Hubbard. 2003. Make versus buy in trucking: Asset ownership, job design, and information. American Economic Review, 93: 551-572.
- Baker, George, Robert Gibbons, and Kevin J. Murphy. 2002. Relational contracts and the theory of the firm. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 117: 39-84.
- Banerjee, Abhijit, and Esther Duflo. 2000. Reputation effects and the limits of contracting: A study of the Indian software industry. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 115: 989-1017.
- Brusoni, Stefano, Andrea Prencipe, and Keith Pavitt. (2001). Knowledge specialization, organizational coupling, and the boundaries of the firm: why do firms know more than they make? Administrative science quarterly 46 (4): 597-621.
- Casadesus-Masanell, Ramon, and Daniel Spulber. 2000. The fable of Fisher Body. Journal of Law and Economics, 43: 67-104.
- Coase, Ronald. 2000. The acquisition of Fisher Body by General Motors. Journal of Law and Economics, 43: 15-31.
- David, Robert J., and Shin-Kap Han. 2003. A systematic assessment of the empirical support for transaction cost economics. Forthcoming, Strategic Management Journal.
- Freeland, Robert. 2000. Creating holdup through vertical integration: Fisher Body revisited. Journal of Law and Economics, 43: 33-66.
- Hart, Oliver. 1995. Firms, Contracts, and Financial Structure (especially chapters 1-3). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Holmström, Bengt, and John Roberts. 1998. The boundaries of the firm revisited. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 12: 73-94.
- Holmström, Bengt. 1999. The firm as a subeconomy. Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 15: 74-102.
- Jacobides, Michael G., and Stephan Billinger. "Designing the boundaries of the firm: From "make, buy, or ally" to the dynamic benefits of vertical architecture." Organization science 17.2 (2006): 249-261.
- Joskow, Paul. 1987. Contract duration and relationship-specific investment: Empirical evidence from coal markets. American Economic Review, 77: 168-85.
- Kapoor, Rahul, and Ron Adner. "What firms make vs. what they know: how firms' production and knowledge boundaries affect competitive advantage in the face of technological change." Organization Science 23.5 (2012): 1227-1248.
- Klein, Benjamin. 2000. Fisher-General Motors and the nature of the firm. Journal of Law and Economics, 43: 105-41.

- Monteverde, Kirk, and David Teece. 1982. Supplier switching costs and vertical integration in the automobile industry. Bell Journal of Economics, 13: 206-13.
- Mullin, Joseph, and Wallace Mullin. 1997. United States Steel's acquisition of Great Northern Ore Properties: Vertical foreclosure or efficient contractual governance? Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 13: 74-100.
- Pisano, Gary P. 1990. The R&D boundaries of the firm: An empirical analysis. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35: 153-176.
- Whinston, Michael. 2003. On the transaction cost determinants of vertical integration. Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 19: 1-23.
- Williamson, Oliver E. 1975. Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Anti-Trust Implications (especially chapters 1, 2, 5-8). New York: Free Press.
- Williamson, Oliver E. 1991. Comparative economic organization: The analysis of discrete structural alternatives. Administrative Science Quarterly, 36: 269-296.

Evolutionary theory, technology and innovation

- Aldrich, Howard E. 1999. Organizations Evolving. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Anderson, Philip, and Michael L. Tushman. 1990. Technological discontinuities and dominant designs: A cyclical model of technological change. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35: 604-633.
- Arthur, W. Brian. 1989. Competing technologies, increasing returns, and lock-in by historical events. Economic Journal, 99: 116-131.
- Benner, Mary J., and Michael L. Tushman. 2002. Process management and technological innovation: A longitudinal study of the photography and paint industries. Administrative Science Quarterly, 47: 676-706.
- Campbell, Donald T. 1965. Variation and selective retention in socio-cultural evolution. In H.R. Barringer, G.I. Blanksten, and R.W. Mack, eds., Social Change in Developing Areas: A Reinterpretation of Evolutionary Theory, 19-48. Cambridge, MA: Schenkman.
- Carroll, Glenn R., and J. Richard Harrison. 1994. On the historical efficiency of competition between organizational populations. American Journal of Sociology, 100: 729-749.
- David, Paul A. 1985. Clio and the economics of QWERTY. American Economic Review, 75: 332-337.
- Gersick, Connie J. G. 1991. Revolutionary change theories: A multilevel exploration of the punctuated equilibrium paradigm. Academy of Management Review, 1: 10-36.
- Nelson, Richard R. 1994. "The Co-Evolution of Technology, Industrial Structure, and Supporting Institutions," Industrial and Corporate Change 3: 47-64.
- Nelson, Richard R., and Sidney G. Winter. 1982. An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Tushman, Michael L., and Elaine Romanelli. 1985. Organizational evolution: A metamorphosis model of convergence and reorientation. In L. Cummings and B. Staw, eds., Research in Organizational Behavior, 7: 171-222. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Tushman, Michael L., and Philip Anderson. 1986. Technological discontinuities and organizational environments. Administrative Science Quarterly, 31: 439-465.
- Van de Ven, Andrew and Garud, Raghu. 1994. "The Coevolution of technical and Institutional Events in the Development of Innovation". Pp. 425-443 in Evolutionary Dynamics of Organizations, edited by Joel A.C. Baum and Jitendra Singh. New York, Oxford University Press.

Entrepreneurship and organizational founding

- Aldrich, Howard E, and Marlene Fiol. 1994. Fools rush in? The institutional context of industry creation. Academy of Management Review, 19: 645-670.
- Aldrich, Howard E. 1999. Organizations Evolving. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Baum, Joel A.C., and Heather A. Haveman. 1997. Love thy neighbor? Differentiation and agglomeration in the Manhattan hotel industry. Administrative Science Quarterly, 41: 304-338.
- Burton, M. Diane, Jesper B. Sørensen, and Christine M. Beckman. 2002. Coming from good stock: Career histories and new venture formation. Research in the Sociology of Organizations, 19: 229-262. New York: Elsevier Science Ltd.
- Chinoy, Ely. 1955/1992. Automobile Workers and the American Dream. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Dobbin, Frank R., and Timothy Dowd. 1997. How policy shapes competition: Early railroad foundings in Massachusetts. Administrative Science Quarterly, 42: 501-529.
- Ruef, Martin, Howard E. Aldrich, and Nancy M. Carter. 2003. The structure of founding teams: Homophily, strong ties, and isolation among U.S. entrepreneurs. American Sociological Review, 68: 195-222.
- Ruef, Martin. 2000. The emergence of organizational forms: A community ecology approach. American Journal of Sociology, 106: 658-714.
- Sorenson, Olav, and Pino G. Audia. 2000. The social structure of entrepreneurial activity: Geographic concentration of footwear production in the United States, 1940-1989. American Journal of Sociology, 106: 424-462.
- Sorenson, Olav, and Toby Stuart. 2001. Syndication networks and the spatial distribution of venture capital investments. American Journal of Sociology, 106: 1546-1588.
- Stinchcombe, Arthur L. 1965. Social structure and organizations. In J. March, ed., Handbook of Organizations: 142-193. Chicago: Rand-McNally.
- Wiewel, Wim, and Albert Hunter. 1985. The interorganizational network as a resource: A comparative case study of organizational genesis. Administrative Science Quarterly, 30: 482-496.
- Zucker, Lynne, Michael Darby, and Marilyn Brewer. 1998. Intellectual capital and the birth of U.S. biotechnology enterprises. American Economic Review, 88: 290-305.

Organizational Demography

- Blau, Peter M. 1977. Inequality and Heterogeneity: A Primitive Theory of Social Structure, chapters 1-4. New York: Free Press.
- Cohen, Lisa E., Joseph P. Broschak, and Heather A. Haveman. 1998. And then there were more? The effect of organizational sex composition on the hiring and promotion of managers. American Sociological Review, 63: 711-727.
- Gusfield, Joseph R. 1957. The problem of generations in an organizational structure. Social Forces, 35: 323-330.
- Lawrence, Barbara. 1997. The black box of organizational demography. Organization Science, 8: 1-22.
- McPherson, J. Miller, Pamela A. Popielarz, and Sonia Drobnic. 1992. Social networks and organizational dynamics. American Sociological Review, 57: 153-170.
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey. 1983. Organizational demography. In L. Cummings and B. Staw, eds., Research in Organizational Behavior, 5: 299-357. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Reagans, Ray, and Ezra W. Zuckerman. 2001. Networks, diversity, and productivity: The social capital of corporate R&D teams. Organization Science, 12: 502-517.
- Reed, Theodore. 1978. Organizational change in the American Foreign Service, 1925-1965: The utility of cohort analysis. American Sociological Review, 43: 404-421.

- Reskin, Barbara F., Debra B. McBrier, and Julie A. Kmec. 1999. The determinants and consequences of workplace sex and race composition. In Karen S. Cook., ed., Annual Review of Sociology, 25: 235-261.
- Ryder, Norman B. 1964. Notes on the concept of a population. American Journal of Sociology, 69: 447-463.
- Ryder, Norman B. 1965. The cohort as a concept in the study of social change. American Sociological Review, 30: 843-861.
- Shenhav, Yehouda, and Yitchak Haberfeld. 1992. Organizational demography and inequality. Social Forces, 71: 123-143.
- Sørensen, Jesper B. 1999. The ecology of organizational demography: Managerial tenure distributions and organizational competition. Industrial and Corporation Change, 8: 713-744.
- Williams, Katherine Y., and Charles A. O'Reilly. 1998. Demography and diversity in organizations: A review of 40 years of research. In B. Staw and L. Cummings, eds., Research in Organizational Behavior, 20: 77-140.

Jobs and Careers

- Baron, James N., and William T. Bielby. 1980. Bringing the firms back in: Stratification, segmentation, and the organization of work. American Sociological Review, 45: 737-765.
- Baron, James N., and William T. Bielby. 1984. The organization of work in a segmented economy. American Sociological Review, 49: 454-473.
- Bendix, Reinhard. 1956. Work and Authority in Industry: Ideologies of Management in the Course of Industrialization. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Faulkner, Robert R., and Andy B. Anderson. 1987. Short-term projects and emergent careers: Evidence from Hollywood. American Journal of Sociology, 92: 879-909.
- Fernandez, Roberto M., Emilio J. Castilla, and Paul Moore. 2000. Social capital at work: Networks and employment at a phone center. American Journal of Sociology, 105: 1288-1356.
- Guillén, Mauro F. 1994. Models of Management: Work, Authority, and Organization in a Comparative Perspective. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Haveman, Heather A., and Lisa E. Cohen. 1994. The ecological dynamics of careers: The impact of organizational founding, dissolution, and merger on job mobility. American Journal of Sociology, 100: 104-152.
- Kalleberg, Arne L., Barbara F. Reskin, and Ken Hudson. 2000. Bad jobs in America: Standard and non-standard employment relations and job quality in the United States. American Sociological Review, 65: 256-278.
- Miner, Anne S. 1987. Idiosyncratic jobs in formalized organizations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 32: 327-351.
- Petersen, Trond, Ishak Saporta, and Marc-David Seidel. 2000. Offering a job: Meritocracy and social networks. American Journal of Sociology, 106: 763-816.
- Podolny, Joel M., and James N. Baron. 1997. Resources and relationships: Social networks and mobility in the workplace. American Sociological Review, 62: 673-693.
- Stolzenberg, Ross M. 1978. Bringing the boss back in: Employer size, employee schooling, and socioeconomic achievement. American Sociological Review, 43: 813-828.
- Stovel, Katherine, Michael Savage, and Peter Bearman. 1996. Ascription into achievement: Models of career systems at Lloyds Bank, 1890-1970. American Journal of Sociology, 102: 358-399.

- Taylor, Frederick Winslow. 1911. The Principles of Scientific Management. New York: W.W. Norton and Co.
- Whyte, William H., Jr. 1956. The Organization Man. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Professions

- Abbott, Andrew. 1988. The System of Professions: An Essay on the Division of Expert Labor. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Abbott, Andrew. 2001. Chaos of Disciplines. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Freidson, Eliot. 1970. Profession of Medicine: A Study of the Sociology of Applied Knowledge. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Freidson, Eliot. 1986. Professional Powers: A Study in the Institutionalization of Formal Knowledge. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Galanter, Marc, and Thomas Palay. 1991. Tournament of Lawyers: The Transformation of the Big Law Firm. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Goldner, Fred H., and R.R. Ritti. 1967. Professionalization as career immobility. American Journal of Sociology, 72: 489-502.
- Hall, Richard H. 1968. Professionalization and bureaucratization. American Sociological Review, 33: 92-104.
- Heinz, John P., and Edward O. Laumann. 1994 [1982]. Chicago Lawyers: The Social Structure of the Bar, Rev. Ed. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press.
- Starr, Paul. 1982. The Social Transformation of American Medicine. New York: Basic Books.
- Wilensky, Harold L. 1965. The professionalization of everyone? American Journal of Sociology, 70: 137-158.

Gender & Organizations

- Bernhardt, Annette, Martina Morris, and Mark S. Handcock. 1995. Women's gains or men's losses? A closer look at the shrinking gender gap in earnings. American Journal of Sociology, 101: 302-328.
- Bielby, William T., and James N. Baron. 1986. Men and women at work: Sex segregation and statistical discrimination. American Journal of Sociology, 91: 759-799.
- England, Paula. 1992. Comparable Worth: Theories and Evidence. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Jacobs, Jerry. 1989. Revolving Doors: Sex Segregation and Women's Careers. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Kanter, Rosabeth Moss. 1977. Men and Women of the Corporation. New York: Basic Books.
- Nelson, Robert L., and William P. Bridges. 1999. Legalizing Gender Inequality: Courts, Markets, and Unequal Pay for Women in the United States. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Petersen, Trond, and Laurie A. Morgan. 1995. Separate and unequal: Occupation-establishment sex segregation and the gender wage gap. American Journal of Sociology, 101: 329-365.
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey, and Alison Davis-Blake. 1987. The effect of the proportion of women on salaries: The case of college administrators. Administrative Science Quarterly, 32: 1-24.

- Reskin, Barbara F., and Debra Branch McBrier. 2000. Why not ascription? Organizations' employment of male and female managers. American Sociological Review, 65: 210-233.
- Reskin, Barbara F., Debra B. McBrier, and Julie A. Kmec. 1999. The determinants and consequences of workplace sex and race composition. In Karen S. Cook., ed., Annual Review of Sociology, 25: 235-261.
- Spain, Daphne, and Suzanne M. Bianchi. 1996. Balancing Act: Motherhood, Marriage, and Employment among American Women. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Wharton, Amy S., and James N. Baron. 1987. So happy together? The psychological impact of gender segregation on women at work. American Sociological Review, 52: 574-587.

Professor's Biography



Massimo Maoret is an Assistant Professor in the Strategic Management Department, and a European Commission Marie Curie Fellow. He received a Ph.D. in Management from Boston College in 2013; he is an active member of the Academy of Management and was also part of the Economic Sociology Work Group at the MIT Sloan School of Management between 2010 and 2013.

Massimo's research focuses on how social networks influence performance both at the individual and organizational level. His work focuses on explaining how informal relationships facilitate the innovativeness of knowledge workers (R&D engineers and architects), and the process through which new organizational members become socialized by developing their networks in their new jobs. He also studies how organizations of the public and private sector exchange knowledge in large technological consortia, and how the stability of task-related interactions boosts organizational competitiveness.

Massimo has investigated the impact of social networks on the performance of various small and large firms, but also on no-profit organizations from different institutional sectors (e.g. basketball teams and the military). His work has appeared in multiple prestigious academic outlets, including Organization Science, the Strategic Management Journal, Advances in Strategic Management and the Proceedings of the Academy of Management. He currently serves in the Editorial Review Board of Organization Science, Journal of Management and Organization Studies.

At IESE, Massimo teaches Competitive Strategy, Strategy Execution, Organizational Theory and Organizational Change. He also teaches modules on managing your network and getting things done at the Executive level, where he has collaborated with organizations such as DOW Chemical, DSM, Faurecia, Henkel and UNICEF.